CONFIDENTIAL (not to be returned to applicant)

Academic Referee's Report (Reference) for Scholarship



(to be returned to hdrschol@mg.edu.au)

Higher Degree Research Office Send the original of faxed reports by mail. Email / Enquiries: hdrschol@mq.edu.au

Instructions:

- Scholarship applicants must provide one copy of this form to EACH referee nominated on the application form.
- Referees should be closely connected with the applicant's most recent academic/research work and, where possible, should be senior academics.
- If referees include the applicant's proposed supervisor, please obtain a third reference.
- Reports are checked for authenticity referees may be contacted to verify details.
- Once completed, reports **should not be returned to the applicant**, the referee is requested to **email** this report, including electronic signature (or print, sign, scan and email form), directly to the email address provided, from a professional or work-based email account.

SECTION A (To be completed by applicant) - PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

Applicant: Family Name						Student No: (if MQ student)		
Applicant: Given Names						Date of Birth:		
Degree Applied for:					Email:		<u>.I.</u>	
SECTION B (To be completed by referee) — PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY (if insufficient space please add additional pages and indicate where these apply) Referee: Full Name								
Position / Title:	Relationship to applicant:					nt:		
Work Address: Work email:			1			Work Teleph () Work Fax: ()	none No. (day):	
Where applicable, please indicate Not able to comment □	the mark for B	Bachelor Hono	urs or Re	esearch The	esis of applic		Actual Predicte	_% ed
Total students in class:	No. of Firsts or Distinctions awarded / expected:							
Applicant place in class:	Minimum mark for First Class Hons or Distinction:							
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Avera	ige Fai	r Poor	Not able to judge	
Aptitude for research								
Creativity / Originality								
Independence / Initiative								
Oral Communication Skills								
Written Communication Skills								
Academic performance								
Quality of completed research								
How does this applicant compare	to others that	you have supe	rvised? I	For exampl	e, top 10%			
What are the applicant's outstand	ing strengths i	n relation to t	heir reseo	arch capac	ity?			
Please comment on the applicant's Signature:						mple, reports, co		ticles